

EDWIN K. PRATHER (Cal. Bar No. 190536)  
 LAW OFFICES OF EDWIN PRATHER  
 461 Bush Street, Suite 350  
 San Francisco, CA 94108  
 Telephone: 415-881-7774  
 Email: Edwin@pratherlawoffices.com

Attorneys for Defendants  
 MIKI BOUTIQUE, INC., a dissolved California  
 corporation; MEI NG, an individual, individually  
 and jointly, d/b/a YUKI BOUTIQUE

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

TIFFANY (NJ), LLC, a Delaware limited  
 liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MIKI BOUTIQUE, INC., a dissolved California  
 corporation, and MEI NG, an individual,  
 individually and jointly, d/b/a YUKI  
 BOUTIQUE and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. CV-11-1563 MMC

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  
 RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; ~~PROPOSED~~  
 ORDER**

Complaint Filed: March 31, 2011

Pursuant to Local Rule 6.1(a), Defendants MIKI BOUTIQUE, INC., a dissolved California corporation, and MEI NG, an individual, individually and jointly, d/b/a YUKI BOUTIQUE (“Defendants”) and Plaintiff TIFFANY (NJ), LLC, and by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate to an extension of time for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint filed on March 31, 2011.

**RECITALS**

1. On March 31, 2011, Plaintiff filed a complaint alleging trademark infringement, counterfeiting, and false designation of origin, against Defendants.

2. Defendants were served with the summons and complaint on April 5, 2011. By previous stipulation, a response to the complaint by Defendants is currently due on May 10, 2011.

3. To allow for continuing settlement discussions, Plaintiff and Defendants have stipulated and agreed that a response to the complaint by Defendants shall be due no later than June 10, 2011. The parties aver that the requested extension will not alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed by Court order.

# STIPULATION

Pursuant to Local Rule 6.1(a), the parties hereby stipulate, through their respective counsel, that Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's complaint by June 10, 2011.

Dated: May 6, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF EDWIN PRATHER

By: s/ Edwin K. Prather  
EDWIN K. PRATHER

Attorneys for Defendants  
MIKI BOUTIQUE, INC., a dissolved  
California corporation, and MEI NG, an  
individual, individually and jointly, d/b/a  
YUKI BOUTIQUE

Dated: May 6, 2011

KRIEG, KELLER, SLOAN, REILLEY &  
ROMAN LLP  
KENNETH E. KELLER (SBN#71450)  
ANNE E. KEARNS (SBN #183336)

By: s/ Anne E. Kearns  
ANNE E. KEARNS

Attorneys for Plaintiff TIFFANY (NJ),  
LLC

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's complaint by June 10, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 9, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

**DECLARATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B**

I, EDWIN K. PRATHER, hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B, that I have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from each of the other signatories listed above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on May 6, 2011, in the City of San Francisco, California.

Dated: May 6, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF EDWIN PRATHER

By: s/ Edwin K. Prather  
EDWIN K. PRATHER

Attorneys for Defendants  
MIKI BOUTIQUE, INC., a dissolved  
California corporation, and MEI NG, an  
individual, individually and jointly, d/b/a  
YUKI BOUTIQUE